

MINUTES OF MEETING OF KEMNAY COMMUNITY COUNCIL HELD ON THURSDAY 31ST
MAY 1990 IN KEMNAY ACADEMY

Present: Mrs Shrago, Mrs Milton, Mr Evans, Mrs Brown, Mr Wainman, Mr Bailey, Mr Moir, Mr Cumming, Miss Souter, Mr Downie, Mr Brown

Apologies: Mr Stock, Mr Marco, Mr Yates, Cllr Wainman

Attending: Mrs G McIntyre (Inverurie Advertiser), Cllr R Hunter (Grampian Regional Council), Mrs S Shortland (Inverurie Herald), Ms Karen Grant (Press & Journal).

1. Mr Bailey welcomed everyone and introduced the new Regional Councillor, Mr R Hunter. The apologies were read.

2. The Minutes of the previous meeting were read. Proposed adoption: Moir; seconded: Mr Wainman. Passed no dissent.

3. Matters arising:-

(a) Kemnay Fair. The Chairman agreed to draft an information leaflet to be handed out at the Fair on the 16th June. Volunteers to help on the day were few, without either Mr Stock or Mr Pashley at the meeting.

(b) Local Officials' "Clinics" in Public Hall. Mr Wainman said that he felt that many people in the village were unable to travel to Aberdeen or Inverurie to see various officials, like Housing Officers etc. However, if these officials were available in the Village on say a monthly basis, they could be seen much more easily. It was proposed by Mr Wainman and seconded by Mrs Milton that a letter be written to the District and Regional Councils on the matter of the possibility of "clinics" in the Public Hall or other suitable forum. Passed with no dissent. Mr Wainman to draft the letter.

Unfinished Business. Miss Souter mentioned the possibility of Discos in the Public Hall during the Summer. She had a notice in the Newsagents' windows asking for adults willing to help "police" such discos, to get in touch with her. The Chairman suggested this should be mentioned on our page in the Newsletter and he would draft this. Miss Souter queried whether the new play-equipment for Alehousewells had been delivered. Mr Wainman said it was incomplete when delivered and developments were awaited.

5. Correspondence received. Letter from Cllr Hunter enclosing copy letter from Grampian Regional Council re Polling Places and asking for suitable alternatives. The Chairman mentioned that previous Community Councils had tried to get the Village Hall as a Polling Place but the lack of a public telephone had always prevented it. Cllr Hunter asked whether there was ease of access for disabled people. He was told there was a ramp at the front and a disabled toilet. He said this should be mentioned in our letter to the Region. It was mentioned that in other Polling Places with telephones, these are not always available. Proposed by Mr Bailey, seconded by Mrs Milton that a letter be written asking to have the Polling Place changed from the Primary School to the Public Hall. Passed no dissent.

6. New Business. (a) Litter Clean-up Day. Mr Brown wondered whether it was now too late this year. He said that the difference at Alehousewells School since the new Head Teacher had taken over was quite remarkable. It was decided to leave the Clean-up day for this year. AGENDA ITEM February 1991 meeting.

(b) Mr Wainman asked whether Policemen from outside the District could come in unmarked cars and keep a watch out for vandals. AGENDA ITEM next meeting. The Secretary to contact Sgt Donald before the meeting and ask if he would attend.

7. District News. Mr Wainman mentioned that the SAM Road-Shwo had been fairly well-attended.

8. Regional News. Cllr Hunter said that he had been to the Water Services meeting. The Don is lower at this time of the year, than at any comparable time in the recent past. There is the possibility of a hosepipe ban later on. The Sewage Works are being moved downstream away from housing. He has had a number of complaints about the bus services and the difference in fares when travelling to Kemnay as opposed to Inverurie. Mrs Shrago asked the position with regard to "Sleeping Policemen" on public roads. A resident of Victoria Terrace had asked if there was a possibility of putting some in the Terrace to slow down the traffic. Cllr Hunter to investigate the matter. Cllr Hunter mentioned the Eastern Cairngorms Consultative Document is now available.

9. Planning Applications. Mr Evans said there were 9 in all. An application by Ms C Mountford for erection of a house and garage at Glenhead was discussed. The Chairman proposed a letter be sent to Gordon District objecting to the application. This was seconded by Mr Wainman. There was one vote against and seven abstentions. The letter to state (a) it is a development in a green belt area contrary to planning policy and (b) the access proposed has not been used for very many years and there is another access that has been ploughed up from the Craigmyle area, which appears to fall on land owned by a relative of the application.

Mr Evans then showed plans relating to an application by Craig~~evn~~ Ltd to erect 24 houses at Boat of Kemnay, Bridge Road. there is only one access from Riverside Road. The general feeling was that the access would be a problem. It was proposed by Miss Souter, seconded by Mrs Brown and passed with no dissent, that a letter be sent stating that (a) we would like to have seen a further access on to Bridge Road and (b) that there is a lack of any public open space, specifically a play area with play equipment.

Mr Bailey then asked Mr David Evans to take the chair so that he (Mr Bailey) could make a point from the floor. Mr Bailey said he had been approached by three people who will be affected by the Glenhead Planning Application, who asked him to vote against it. Mr Bailey then said that he felt badly let down by the attitude of some members of the Community Council who had not supported him. At this point the Secretary left the meeting. The meeting concluded shortly thereafter.

Proposed:

Seconded:

Signed:



Grampian Regional Council

DEPARTMENT OF PHYSICAL PLANNING

TREVOR F. SPROTT, OBE, RIBA, FRTPI, Director

Our Ref. A2.2.8/AGS/KAB

Your Ref.

Direct Line 664729

Telephone No. 0224 682222 Ext. 4729

If telephoning or calling please ask for

Mr Stark

Fax No. 0224 697445

Telex No. 739277

17 May 1990


Mrs Sandra Shrago
Secretary
Kemnay Community Council
30 Paradise Rod
KEMNAY
Aberdeenshire

Dear Mrs Shrago

GRAMPIAN COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT AWARDS

May I remind you that the closing date for the fifth round of Community Development Awards is 30 June. Some application forms are enclosed which you may wish to use yourself or to pass on to any other local organisation which is contemplating a suitable scheme. Additional forms are available by telephoning this department.

Yours sincerely


Allan Garvie
Assistant Director of Physical Planning


Grampian's Going Places

CRITERIA FOR GRAMPIAN COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT AWARDS

The purpose of the Award Scheme is to encourage communities to undertake projects of local benefit. A competitive structure has been adopted allowing projects which best meet the Scheme's objectives to be selected from a range of eligible applications.

Applications are assessed under eight headings. This allows comparisons between applications and is used to establish an initial order of preference. This is then looked at more sensitively to establish final recommendations.

Although the main headings themselves are in no particular order, the criteria under each heading are arranged in descending order of merit.

Social Benefits - mainly encouraging contact within the community.

- Provision of new facilities or personal services.
- Improvement or extension of existing facilities or services
- Improved access to an existing facility, or essential maintenance
- Day to day maintenance.

Environmental Benefits - mainly improving the appearance of an area.

- Opening new facility to the public.
- Improvement of an existing facility.
- Maintenance of an area or outside of building.

Economic Benefits - mainly employment or trade.

- Direct employment (whole or part time).
- Tourism or similar spin-off.
- Employment of local contractor.
- Provision of local advertising medium, or employment of a contractor from outside the area.

Other Benefits - not fully covered by social, environmental or economic.

- Improved road or pedestrian safety.
- Wildlife conservation, or local education or sports facilities.

Innovation - based on the degree of novelty.

- Introduces a new concept.
- Variation on an established concept.

Intensity of Benefit - based on the proportion of the catchment population who are eligible to benefit or are likely to benefit.

- Almost everyone in the community can benefit.
- Only a few in the community can benefit.

Quality of Benefit - to the eligible group.

- Very significant to whole group
- Of modest significance to whole or part group.
- Of minor significance.

Effectiveness of Award - assuming that the maximum qualifying amount is awarded.

- Closes funding gap significantly.
- Leaves large gap, but probably still viable.
- Viable without award, or unlikely to be viable even with full award.